

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/763,421 | 02/22/2001 | Koichi Fukasawa | FUKASAWA 2 | 9136 | |
| 1444 | 7590 07/15/2002 | | | | |
| BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 624 NINTH S' SUITE 300 | | | LEE, EUGENE | | |
| WASHINGTO | ON, DC 20001-5303 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2815 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/15/2002 | DATE MAILED: 07/15/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | <u> </u> |
|--|--|---|--|-----------|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | | 09/763,421 | FUKASAWA ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summ | nary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Eugene Lee | 2815 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply | communication ap | pears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence address | s |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under th after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less to - If NO period for reply is specified above, the re- - Failure to reply within the set or extended per - Any reply received by the Office later than thre- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR | OMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1. of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a rep maximum statutory period iod for reply will, by statute ee months after the mailin | 136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ication. |
| 1) Responsive to communica | tion(s) filed on 29 | April 2002 . | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. | <u></u> | nis action is non-final. | | |
| <u> </u> | condition for allow | ance except for formal ma | atters, prosecution as to the me | nits is |
| Disposition of Claims | · | , | , | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-27</u> is/are | pending in the app | olication. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) | is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allow | ed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-27</u> is/are r | ejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are object | ted to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject Application Papers | to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected | to by the Examine | er. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on | _ is/are: a)⊠ acce | pted or b) objected to by | the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request the | at any objection to th | e drawing(s) be held in abey | rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11)⊠ The proposed drawing correct | ction filed on <u>29 A</u> | <u>oril 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approve | d b)☐ disapproved by the Exam | niner. |
| If approved, corrected drawin | gs are required in re | ply to this Office action. | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is ob | jected to by the Ex | kaminer. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and | 120 | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made o | f a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ N | one of: | • | | |
| Certified copies of the | e priority document | ts have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the | e priority document | ts have been received in A | Application No | |
| | he International Bu | ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | n received in this National Stago received. | е |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a | a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e) (to a provisional appl | ication). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the fo | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO | | 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/763,421

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 2, 4 thru 7, and 9 thru 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Komoto et al. '824 B1. Komoto discloses (see, for example, FIG. 65) a light emitting device 100H comprising a lead frame (base) 110, fluorescent layer (fluorescent material containing layer) FL, light emitting element (light emitting diode element) 990, and resin (resin seal member) 140. The fluorescent layer is arranged on the backside of light emitting element. Also, see FIG. 69.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,421 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komoto et al. '824 B1. Komoto does not disclose said fluorescent material being an yttrium compound. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use yttrium, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use (to obtain fluorescence) as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 4-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,421

Art Unit: 2815

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-5695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular , communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Eugene Lee July 8, 2002

> EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800